_ugoff-Elgin High

1284 Hwy 1 South

Lugoff, South Carolina 29078

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 1,428 Students

Principal Tommy Gladden 803-438-3481

Superintendent Herbert M. Berg, Ed.D. 803–432–8416

Board Chair Dana A. Morris 803-432-4391

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA

2006

ANNUAL SCHOOL REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

GOOD

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

7 18 4 1 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

BELOW AVERAGE

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

МО

This school met 13 out of 17 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

| PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Year | Absolute Rating | Improvement Rating | Adequate Yearly Progress | | | | | |
| 2003 | Good | Good | No | | | | | |
| 2004 | Good | Good | No | | | | | |
| 2005 | Good | Below Average | Yes | | | | | |
| 2006 | Good | Below Average | No | | | | | |

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

| HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------|------------|------|---|------|------|--|--|--|
| | | Our School | | High Schools with Students Like Ours | | | | | |
| | | _ | | | | | | | |
| Percent | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | | | |
| Passed 2 subtests | 82.1 | 73.4 | 77.2 | 78.3 | 76.5 | 76.8 | | | |
| Passed 1 subtest | 10.4 | 15.7 | 12.9 | 11.2 | 12.2 | 11.5 | | | |
| Passed no subtests | 7.5 | 10.9 | 9.9 | 10.5 | 11.2 | 11.7 | | | |

| HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006 | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|---|
| | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Percent | 91.6% | 92.5% |

| ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP | | |
|---|------------|---|
| Percent of | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions* | 16.1 | 18.1 |
| Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement | 16.1 | 19.6 |
| Seniors who met the grade point average | 47.6 | 54.1 |

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

| GRADUATION RATE | | |
|--------------------|------------|---|
| | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Number of Students | 348 | 326 |
| Number of Diplomas | 244 | 239 |
| Rate | 70.1% | 74.4% |

| END OF COURSE TESTS | | |
|---|------------|---|
| Percent of students scoring 70 or above on: | Our School | High Schools with Students Like Ours |
| Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2 | 90.9 | 80.3 |
| English 1 | 79.1 | 70.2 |
| Biology 1/Applied Biology 2 | 69.7 | 66.1 |
| Physical Science | 57.4 | 53.1 |
| All Subjects | 73.7 | 68.4 |

| PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| | HSAP Passage Rate by Spring 2006 | | Eligibility Schola | / for LIFE arship | Graduation Rate | | | | | |
| | n | % | n | % | n | % | Met State Objective | | | |
| All Students | 310 | 91.6 | 254 | 16.1 | 244 | 70.1 | No | | | |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 144 | 91.0 | 119 | 14.3 | 164 | 68.9 | N/A | | | |
| Female | 166 | 92.2 | 135 | 17.8 | 183 | 71.6 | N/A | | | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 245 | 93.5 | 196 | 19.9 | 268 | 71.3 | N/A | | | |
| African American | 61 | 83.6 | 55 | 3.6 | 75 | 68.0 | N/A | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Hispanic | 4 | 100.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 4 | 50.0 | N/A | | | |
| American Indian/Alaskan | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | | | |
| Non disabled | 281 | 96.8 | 254 | 16.1 | 321 | 73.2 | N/A | | | |
| Disabilities other than speech | 29 | 41.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 27 | 33.3 | N/A | | | |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Non-migrant | 310 | 91.6 | 254 | 16.1 | 348 | 70.1 | N/A | | | |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | N/A | N/A | 1 | 0.0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | | | |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 310 | 91.6 | 253 | 16.2 | 347 | 70.3 | N/A | | | |
| Socio-Economic Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| Subsidized meals | 103 | 85.4 | 116 | 58.6 | 116 | 58.6 | N/A | | | |
| Full-pay meals | 207 | 94.7 | 189 | 19.6 | 232 | 75.9 | N/A | | | |

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

| | | | | | | G | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | Enrollment 1st Day of T. | 6 | % Below Baci. | ¥ / | / , | , / , | % Proficient and Advanced | يو 🖟 | * / = ; |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Į į | % Tested | , / 8 | % Basic | % Proficient | % Advanced | ie lie | Performance Objective | Participation Objective Man |
| | 1 4 5 | | Moga | / 8 | l for | / § | / ² 8 | | |
| | र्ज हैं। | / ~ | / % | / `` | / % | / % | 18 P | / a 3 | \4° 8 |
| F | nglish/Lan | / guage Art | / s – State | <i> </i> Performa | , | , | 3% | | |
| All Students | 302 | 98.0 | 11.7 | 32.1 | 29.3 | 26.9 | 67.6 | Yes | Yes |
| Gender | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 150 | 98.7 | 13.1 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 24.8 | 68.3 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 152 | 97.4 | 10.3 | 33.1 | 27.6 | 29.0 | 66.9 | N/A | N/A |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 219 | 98.2 | 7.6 | 31.0 | 31.4 | 30.0 | 73.3 | Yes | Yes |
| African American | 73 | 98.6 | 23.9 | 35.2 | 25.4 | 15.5 | 47.9 | No | Yes |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 8 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | , | | | | | | | , | |
| Not Disabled | 280 | 98.2 | 7.4 | 32.3 | 31.2 | 29.0 | 72.5 | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 22 | 95.5 | 66.7 | 28.6 | 4.8 | N/A | 4.8 | I/S | I/S |
| Migrant Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 302 | 98.0 | 11.7 | 32.1 | 29.3 | 26.9 | 67.6 | N/A | N/A |
| English Proficiency | | | | | | | | | |
| Limited English Proficient | 1 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Non-Limited English Proficient | 301 | 98.0 | 11.4 | 32.2 | 29.4 | 27.0 | 67.8 | N/A | N/A |
| Socio-Economic Status | 404 | 00.4 | 00.0 | 00.0 | 00.0 | 440 | F7.0 | . V | |
| Subsidized meals | 104 | 98.1 | 20.0 | 38.0 | 28.0 | 14.0 | 57.0 | Yes | Yes |
| Full-pay meals | 198 | 98.0 | 7.4 | 28.9 | 30.0 | 33.7 | 73.2 | N/A | N/A |
| | Mathemati | | Perform | ance Obje | ective = 50 | 0.0% | | | |
| All Students | 302 | 98.0 | 17.9 | 34.1 | 30.0 | 17.9 | 60.3 | Yes | Yes |
| Gender | , | | , | , | , | | | , | |
| Male | 150 | 98.7 | 15.2 | 31.0 | 31.7 | 22.1 | 66.2 | N/A | N/A |
| Female | 152 | 97.4 | 20.7 | 37.2 | 28.3 | 13.8 | 54.5 | N/A | N/A |
| Racial/Ethnic Group | _ | | | | | | | | |
| White | 219 | 98.2 | 12.4 | 32.9 | 33.3 | 21.4 | 69.5 | Yes | Yes |
| African American | 73 | 98.6 | 32.4 | 39.4 | 22.5 | 5.6 | 35.2 | No | Yes |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 2 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| Hispanic | 8 | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S | I/S |
| American Indian/Alaskan | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | I/S | I/S |
| Disability Status | 000 | 00.0 | 40.0 | 046 | 00.0 | 40.0 | 04.5 | NI/A | NIVA |
| Not Disabled | 280 | 98.2 | 13.8 | 34.9 | 32.0 | 19.3 | 64.7 | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled | 22 | 95.5 | 71.4 | 23.8 | 4.8 | N/A | 4.8 | I/S | I/S |
| Migrant Status | | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A | NI/C | NI/A | NI/A | NI/A |
| Migrant | 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Non-Migrant | 302 | 98.0 | 17.9 | 34.1 | 30.0 | 17.9 | 60.3 | N/A | N/A |
| English Proficiency | Ţ. | | | | | | | | |

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

Non-Limited English Proficient

1

301

I/S

98.0

98.1

I/S

17.6

29.0

I/S

34.3

44.0

I/S

30.1

20.0

35.3

I/S

18.0

7.0

I/S

60.6

42.0

I/S

N/A

No

I/S

N/A

Yes

N/A

| SCHOOL PROFILE | | | | 10/30/00 200 1007 |
|---|------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Our School | Change from Last Year | High Schools with Students Like Ours | Median High School |
| Students (n= 1,428) | | | | |
| Retention rate | 7.3% | | 6.7% | 7.0% |
| Attendance rate | 98.2% | - 1 | 96.0% | 95.5% |
| Eligible for gifted and talented With disabilities other than speech | 31.8% 8.5% | | 10.6% 11.1% | 7.9% 12.3% |
| Older than usual for grade | 6.5% | | 6.9% | 9.5% |
| Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses | 0.7% | | 1.9% | 1.2% |
| Enrolled in AP/IB programs | 11.4% | Down from 16.8% | 14.8% | 11.2% |
| Successful on AP/IB exams | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Eligible for LIFE Scholarship* | 16.1% | | 16.0% | 10.2% |
| Annual dropout rate | 2.7% | Down from 5.5% | 2.4% | 2.8% |
| Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations | | No change | 0.3% | 3.5% |
| Enrollment in career/technology center courses | 469 | | 572 | 448 |
| Students participating in worked-based experiences | 5.4% | | 24.9% | 24.2% |
| Career/technology students mastering core competencies | 78.8% | Up from 71.1% | 80.4% | 80.0% |
| Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem | N/A ents. | N/A | 100.0% | 99.1% |
| Teachers (n= 71) | | | | |
| Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers | 59.2% N/AV | Up from 58.6% | 59.2% N/AV | 55.5% N/AV |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers | 8.0% | N/A | 8.0% | 9.6% |
| Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates | 3.0% | Down from 9.2% | 6.0% | 9.9% |
| Teachers returning from previous year | 87.5% | Up from 84.6% | 87.5% | 86.3% |
| Teacher attendance rate | 96.2% | Up from 95.6% | 95.7% | 95.3% |
| Average teacher salary | \$42,596 | | \$43,277 | \$42,943 |
| Prof. development days/teacher | 6.0 days | Down from 9.6 days | 11.3 days | 11.2 days |
| School | | | | |
| Principal's years at school | 4.0 | Up from 3.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| Student-teacher ratio in core subjects | 30.7 to 1 | Up from 28.3 to 1 | 28.1 to 1 | 25.7 to 1 |
| Prime instructional time | 94.2% | | 90.2% | 89.3% |
| Dollars spent per pupil* | | Up 10.0% | \$6,378 | \$6,792 |
| Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries* | 61.4% | Up from 58.9% | 56.0% | 55.3% |
| Percent of expenditures for instruction* | 64.6% | | 61.0% | 61.1% |
| Opportunities in the arts | | No change | Excellent | Excellent |
| Parents attending conferences | 99.0% | | 95.7% | 92.8% |
| SACS accreditation | Yes | No change | Yes | Yes |
| Character development | Below Average | | Good | Good |
| * Prior year audited financial data are reported. | 7.110.tago | • | | |
| | | Our | District | State |

| | Our District | State |
|---|-----------------|---------------------|
| Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | 5.3% | 6.2% |
| Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers | 0.0% | 10.2% |
| | State Objective | Met State Objective |
| Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school | 0.0% | No |
| Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year | 94.0%* | Yes |

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Lugoff-Elgin High School is committed to continuing our Legacy of Excellence. The faculty and staff are dedicated to seeing every student succeed in a great school. We continue to provide quality instruction and relevant learning experiences in order to prepare our students for the future.

The 2005-2006 school year was very exciting for the Demons. Our graduates were awarded over \$4 million in scholarship offers. One LE graduate was named Player of the Year for WLTX, and another graduate received the SAMMY (milk mustache award) for 2006. We are very proud of our two-time State Rodeo Champion, as well as our all-state athletes. Our students continue to perform at high levels in athletic, band and other extra-curricular activities. We are proud of our teachers that received National Board Certification this year and Mr. Robert Blinkhorn, our Teacher of the Year.

The i-CAN project is going well with all ninth and tenth grade students having laptop computers. Our goal continues to be to have all high school students with this technology to improve their skills and prepare them for tomorrow. A district facility equalization project has been approved and will impact our school and community. A new track, wellness center, and other athletic improvements will change the look of our entire athletic facility. This project is part of \$102 million worth of upgrades to our facilities district wide.

Finally, our goal continues to be to achieve an Excellent rating on our school report card. We have made steady progress over the past four years and believe a jump is on the horizon. LE Academy has had its desired effect; test scores on end of course tests and the exit exam continue to rise. We are proud of the success of our teachers and students. Come see the great things happening at LE.

M. Dee Christopher, 2005-06 Principal

Dr. Elwyn Roberts, School Improvement Council President

| EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Teachers | Students* | Parents* | | | | | |
| Number of surveys returned | 69 | 229 | 76 | | | | | |
| Percent satisfied with learning environment | 89.9% | 69.0% | 81.3% | | | | | |
| Percent satisfied with social and physical environment | 89.7% | 71.1% | 77.0% | | | | | |
| Percent satisfied with school-home relations | 77.9% | 79.9% | 66.7% | | | | | |

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.